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## 1948

## Programming of Interdependent Activities II: Mathematical Model <br> * George B. Dantzig <br> * Econometrica 17 (1949)

"Linear Programming"

* Formulations \& applications
* No algorithm
"It is proposed to solve linear programming problems . . . by means of large scale digital computers . . . . Several computational procedures have been evolved so far and research is continuing actively in this field."

PROGRAMMING OF INTERDEPENDENT ACTIVITIES
II MATHEMATICAL MODEL ${ }^{1}$

## By George B. Dantzig

Activities (or production processes) are considered as building blocks out of which a technology is constructed. Postulates are developed by which activities may be combined. The main part of the paper is concerned with the discrete type model and the use of a linear maximization function for finding the "optimum" program. The mathematical problem associated with this approach is developed first in general notation and then in terms of a dynamic system of equations expressed in matrix notation. Typical problems from the fields of inter-industry relations, transportation, nutrition, warehouse storage, and air transport are given in the last section.

INTRODUCTION
The multitude of activities in which a large organization or a nation engages can be viewed not only as fixed objects but as representative building blocks of different kinds that might be recombined in varying amounts to form new blocks. If a structure can be reared of these blocks that is mutually self-supporting, the resulting edifice can be thought of as a technology. Usually the very elementary blocks have a wide variety of forms and quite irregular characteristics over time. Often they are combined with other blocks so that they will have "nicer" characteristics when used to build a complete system. Thus the science of programming, if it may be called a science, is concerned with the adjustment of the levels of a set of given activities (production processes) so that they remain mutually consistent and satisfy certain optimum properties.
It is highly desirable to have formal rules by which activities can be combined to form composite activities and an economy. These rules are set forth here as a set of postulates regarding reality. Naturally other postulates are possible; those selected have been chosen with a wide class of applications in mind and with regard to the limitations of present day computational techniques. The reader's attention is drawn to the last section of this report where a number of applications of the mathematical model are discussed. These are believed to be of sufficient interest in themselves, and may lend concreteness to the development which follows:
postulates of a linear technology
Postulate i: There exists a set $\{A\}$ of activities,
Postulate if: All activities take place within a time span 0 to $t_{0}$
${ }^{1}$ A revision of a paper presented before the Madison Meeting of the Econometric Society on September 9, 1948. This is the second of two papers on this subject, both appearing in this issue. The first paper, with sub-title "General Discussion," will be referred to by Roman numeral I
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## 1947

## Maximization of a Linear Function of Variables Subject to Linear Inequalities

\author{

* George B. Dantzig
}
* Activity Analysis of Production and Allocation (1951)

"Simplex Method"<br>* Proof of convergence<br>* No computers

"As a practical computing matter the iterative procedure of shifting from one basis to the next is not as laborious as would first appear . . ."

## Chapter XXI

MAXIMIZATION OF A LINEAR FUNCTION OF vARIABLES SUBJECT TO LINEAR INEQUALITIES ${ }^{1}$
By George B. Dantzig

The general problem indicated in the title is easily transformed, by any one of several methods, to one which maximizes a linear form of nonnegative variables subject to a system of linear equalities. For example, consider the linear inequality $a x+b y+c>0$. The linear inequality can be replaced by a linear equality in nonnegative variables by writing, instead, $a\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+b\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)+c-z=0$, where $x_{1} \geqq 0$, $x_{2} \geqq 0, y_{1} \geqq 0, y_{2} \geqq 0, z \geqq 0$. The basic problem throughout this chapter will be considered in the following form:
$P_{\text {roblem }}$ : Find the values of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ which maximize the linear form
(1) $\quad \lambda_{1} c_{1}+\lambda_{2} c_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} c_{n}$
subject to the conditions that
(2) $\quad \lambda_{j} \geqq 0 \quad(j=1,2, \cdots, n)$
and

$$
\lambda_{1} a_{11}+\lambda_{2} a_{12}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} a_{1 n}=b_{1}
$$

(3) $\quad \lambda_{1} a_{21}+\lambda_{2} a_{22}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} a_{2 n}=b_{2}$,
$\lambda_{1} a_{m 1}+\lambda_{2} a_{m 2}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} a_{m n}=b_{m}$,
where $a_{i j}, b_{i}, c_{j}$ are constants $(i=1,2, \cdots, m ; j=1,2, \cdots, n)$.
${ }^{1}$ The author wishes to acknowledge that his work on this subject stemmed from discussions in the spring of 1947 with Marshall K. Wood, in connection with Air the method discussed here is known) was stimulated by discussions with Leonid Hurwicz.
The author is indebted to T. C. Koopmans, whose constructive observations regarding properties of the simplex led directly to a proof of the method in the early fall of 1947. Emil D. Schell assisted in the preparation of various versions of this chapter. Jack Laderman has written a set of detailed working instructions and has tested this and other proposed techniques on several examples. 339

## 1953

An Introduction to Linear Programming<br>* W.W. Cooper, A. Henderson<br>* A. Charnes<br>"Simplex Tableau"<br>* Symbolic description<br>* Numerical example

"As far as computations are concerned it is most convenient to arrange the data at each stage in a 'simplex tableau' as shown in Table I. ${ }^{12}$ "
$"{ }^{12} \mathrm{~A}$. Orden suggested this efficient
arrangement developed by himself,
Dantzig, and Hoffman."


## Terminology

## Linear program

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Minimize } & \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} \\
\text { Subject to } & A \boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b} \\
& \boldsymbol{x} \geq \mathbf{0}
\end{array}
$$

## Data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{c}=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \\
& A=\left[a_{i j}\right], \text { with } m \text { rows } \boldsymbol{a}^{\boldsymbol{i}} \text { and } n \text { columns } \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Variables

$$
\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

## Terminology (cont'd)

## Basis

$* \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}$, sets of basic and nonbasic column indices

$$
*|\mathcal{B}|=m,|\mathcal{N}|=n-m
$$

$\div \boldsymbol{c}_{\mathcal{B}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{\mathcal{B}}$, corresponding subvectors of $\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{x}$
Basis matrix

* $B$, nonsingular $|\mathcal{B}| \times|\mathcal{B}|$ submatrix of $A$
$* B^{-1}=\left[z_{i j}\right]$, with $|\mathcal{B}|$ rows $\boldsymbol{z}^{i}$ and $|\mathcal{B}|$ columns $\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$


## Tableau Simplex Method

## Given

$x_{i}, i \in \mathcal{B}$ (the basic solution)
$y_{i j}, i \in \mathcal{B}, j \in \mathcal{N}$ (the tableau)
$d_{j}, j \in \mathcal{N}$ (the reduced costs)
Choose an entering variable
$p \in \mathcal{N}: d_{p}<0$
Choose a leaving variable

$$
q \in \mathcal{B}: x_{q} / y_{q p}=\min _{y_{i p}>0} x_{i} / y_{i p}
$$

Update

* one pivot on a $(|\mathcal{B}|+1) \times(|\mathcal{N}|+1)$ tableau
$* x_{i} \equiv y_{i 0}, \quad d_{j} \equiv y_{0 j}$


## Disadvantages

## Inefficiency

* $O(|\mathcal{B}| \times|\mathcal{N}|)$ numbers to compute
$* O(|\mathcal{B}| \times|\mathcal{N}|)$ numbers to write and store


## Instability

* Rigid computational rules


## 1953

The Generalized Simplex Method for Minimizing a Linear Form under Linear Inequality Restraints

* George B. Dantzig, Alex Orden, Philip Wolfe
* Project RAND Research Memorandum RM-1264
"Lexicographic Simplex Method"
* Prevent degenerate cycling
* Reorganize computations
"The $\mathrm{k}+1^{\text {st }}$ iterate is closely related to the $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ by simple transformations that constitute the computational algorithm [6], . . ." .



## 1951

Computational Algorithm of the Revised Simplex Method

* George B. Dantzig
* Project RAND Research Memorandum RM-1266
"Revised Simplex Method"
* Break ties for leaving variable
* Update basis inverse
"The transformation of just the inverse (rather than the entire matrix of coefficients with each cycle) has been developed because it has several important advantages over the old method: . . ."



## Revised Simplex Method

## Given

$x_{i}, i \in \mathcal{B}$ (the basic solution)
$z_{i j}, i \in \mathcal{B}, j \in \mathcal{B}$ (the basis inverse)
$\pi_{i}, i \in \mathcal{B}$ (the prices)
Choose an entering variable
$p \in \mathcal{N}: d_{p}=c_{p}-\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{p}}<0$
Choose a leaving variable
$y_{i p}=z^{\boldsymbol{i}} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$
$q \in B: x_{q} / y_{q p}=\min _{y_{i p}>0} x_{i} / y_{i p}$
Update

* one pivot on a $(|\mathcal{B}|+1) \times(|\mathcal{B}|+1)$ tableau
* $x_{i} \equiv z_{i 0}, \quad \pi_{i} \equiv z_{0 i}$


## Advantages

## Smaller tableau update

> ". . . In the original method (roughly) $m \times n$ new elements have to be recorded each time. In contrast, the revised method (by making extensive use of cumulative sums of products) requires the recording of about $m^{2}$ elements . . .."

## Sparse operations

"In most practical problems the original matrix of coefficients is largely composed of zero elements. . . .The revised method works with the matrix in its original form and takes direct advantage of these zeros."

## Disadvantages

## Inefficiency

$\star|\mathcal{B}| \times|\mathcal{B}|$ numbers to compute
$*|\mathcal{B}| \times|\mathcal{B}|$ numbers to write and store

## Instability

* Rigid computational rules


## However . . .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ". . . the revised method (by making } \\
& \text { extensive use of cumulative sums of } \\
& \text { products) requires the recording of about } \\
& m^{2} \text { elements (and an alternative method [5] } \\
& \text { can reduce this to } m \ldots \text {. .)." }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1953

Alternate Algorithm for the Revised Simplex Method

* George B. Dantzig, Wm. Orchard-Hays
* Project RAND Research Memorandum RM-1268
"Product Form for the Inverse"
* Fully sparse representation
* Practical computation
"Using the I.B.M. Card Programmed Calculator, . . . where the inverse matrix is needed at one stage and its transpose at another, this is achieved simply by turning over the deck of cards representing the inverse."



## Product-Form Simplex Method

## Given

$\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathcal{B}}$ (the basic solution)
$B^{-1}=E_{k}^{-1} E_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots E_{2}^{-1} E_{1}^{-1} \quad$ (factorization of the basis inverse)
Choose an entering variable

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\pi}=\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathcal{B}} E_{k}^{-1} E_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots E_{2}^{-1} E_{1}^{-1} \\
& p \in \mathcal{N}: c_{p}-\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{p}}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose a leaving variable
$\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{p}}=E_{k}^{-1} E_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots E_{2}^{-1} E_{1}^{-1} \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$
$q \in \mathcal{B}: x_{q} / y_{q p}=\min _{y_{i p}>0} x_{i} / y_{i p}$
Update

* add a factor $E_{k+1}^{-1}$ to the product
* update basic solution to $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathcal{B}}-\left(x_{q} / y_{q p}\right) \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$


## Factorization of the Inverse

Form of the factors
$* E_{i}$ is an identity matrix except for one column

* . . . and so is $E_{i}^{-1}$

Storage of the factors

* nonzeros only of the one column, in (row,value) pairs
* diagonal element first

Update of the factors
$* E_{k+1}$ is an identity matrix except for $\boldsymbol{y}_{p}$ in column $q$

## Modern Simplex Method

## Given

$\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathcal{B}}$ (the basic solution)
a factorization of $B$ suitable for computation
Choose an entering variable
solve $B^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{\pi}=\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathcal{B}}$
$p \in \mathcal{N}: c_{p}-\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{p}}<0$
Choose a leaving variable
solve $B \boldsymbol{y}_{p}=\boldsymbol{a}_{p}$
$q \in \mathcal{B}: x_{q} / y_{q p}=\min _{y_{i p}>0} x_{i} / y_{i p}$

## Update

* update factorization to reflect change of basis
* update basic solution to $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathcal{B}}-\left(x_{q} / y_{q p}\right) \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$


## 1963

## Linear Programming and Extensions <br> * George B. Dantzig

". . . the simplex algorithm . . . starts with a canonical form, consists of a sequence of pivot operations, and forms the main subroutine of the simplex method."
"Because some readers might find that the matrix notation of $\S 8.5$ [The Simplex Algorithm in Matrix Form] obscures the computational aspects, we have tended to avoid its use here."

## LINEAR

## PROGRAMMING AND

EXTENSIONS
by GEORGE B. DANTZIG
THE RAND CORPORATION
and
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

1963
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRESS PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

## 1968

Advanced
Linear-Programming
Computing Techniques

* William Orchard-Hays

> "Except for [a few sections], the contents of the book reflect actual and extensive experience."

> "I hope that the many users of mathematical programming systems implemented on today's large computers find the book valuable as background for the largely undocumented algorithms embedded in these systems. If it should also be found useful as a course text, all objectives will have been achieved."

ADVANCED LINEAR-PROGRAMMING COMPUTING TECHNIQUES

## William Orchard-Hays

Vice President, Computer Applications Incorporated
Silver Spring, Maryland

## Tableau Simplex Revisited

## Simple

* No linear algebra
* No matrices \& inverses
* All computations in "pivot" step
* Easy to set up for hand calculation

Familiar

* Professors learned it
* Textbooks use it
* Proofs use it

But not inevitable . . .

## Essential Simplex Method

## Given

$\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathcal{B}}$ (the basic solution)
$B$ (the basis)
Choose an entering variable

> solve $B^{\boldsymbol{T}} \boldsymbol{\pi}=\boldsymbol{c}_{\mathcal{B}}$
> $p \in \mathcal{N}: c_{p}-\boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{p}}<0$

Choose a leaving variable
solve $B \boldsymbol{y}_{p}=\boldsymbol{a}_{p}$
$q \in \mathcal{B}: x_{q} / y_{q p}=\min _{y_{i p}>0} x_{i} / y_{i p}$
Update

* update basic solution to $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathcal{B}}-\left(x_{q} / y_{q p}\right) \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$

